UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,900	02/24/2004	Wei-Feng Huang	004320.P075	4403

62294   7590   12/13/2007
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
1279 Oakmead Parkway
Sunnyvale, CA 94085-4040

EXAMINER
YEH, EUENG NAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2624	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
12/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/786,900	HUANG, WEI-FENG
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	Eueng-nan Yeh	2624	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE _3_ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _03 October 2007_.

2a)☒ This action is **FINAL**.   2b)☐ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) _1,4-36 and 39-70_ is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) _1,4-36 and 39-70_ is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on _Oct 3, 2007_ is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

      1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

      2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

      3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1)☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2)☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3)☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4)☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application

6)☐ Other: _____.

FINAL ACTION

Response to Amendment

1.   The following Office Action is responsive to the amendment and remarks

received on October 3, 2007. Original claims 2, 3, 37, and 38 were canceled and

claims 1, 4-36, and 39-70 remain pending. In response to the amendment, the previous

drawing and specification "objections" are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2.   The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

> A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
>
> (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
>
> (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
>
> (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3.   Claims 1, 5-13, 16, 36, 40-48, and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as

being anticipated by Yeo et al. (US 6,738,509 B2).

Regarding claims 1 and 36, Yeo discloses a method for processing images ("Multi-spectral images can be described in any one of a plurality of known spectral or color spaces" at column 1, line 21), the method comprising:

act A: converting a first image data from a first color space into a second image data that corresponds to a second color space (as depicted in figure 9 , numeral 902 to perform color space 1 to 2 transform);

act B: perform image processing on the second image data in the second color space to form a processed image data (as depicted in figure 9, numerals 904 and 906 to perform data compression process); and

 act C: converting the processed image data to a third image data that corresponds to first color spaces (as depicted in figure 9, numeral 908 to perform color space 2 to 1 transform);

the first color space is a single color component color space (as depicted in figure 9, color space 1 components (A and B) are input into a color space 1 to color space 2 transformer 902 where components A and B are part of the multi-spectral image; "[a] multi-spectral image is a collection of two or more monochrome images of the same scene. Multi-spectral images can be described in any one of a plurality of known spectral or color spaces" at column 1, line 20. The color space 1 components (A and B) are monochrome, i.e. single color component. Thus, the first color space can be a single color component color space).

Regarding claims 5 and 40, the second color space is a single color component color space (discussed in claims 1 and 36, the single color component color space is disclosed).

Regarding claims 6-7, and 41-42, the second color space is a multiple color component color space ("... color space 2 may be YCbCr color space. Other color space combination are also possible ..." at Yeo column 4, line 49).

Regarding claims 8 and 43, the third color space is a single color component color space (discussed in claims 1 and 36, the single color component color space is disclosed).

Regarding claims 9-10, and 44-45, the third color space is a multiple color component color space (according to act C the third color space is color space 1; "color space 1 may be any color space, such as RGB color space, for example" at Yeo column 4, line 9).

Regarding claims 11 and 46, act A further comprises using one or more temporary buffers to store the second image data ("... a system unit having a central processing unit (CPU) and associated volatile and non-volatile memory, including all RAM ...CD-ROM drive ..." at Yeo column 10, line 42).

Regarding claims 12 and 47, act B further comprises using one or more

temporary buffers to store the processed image data (discussed in claims 11 and 46).

Regarding claims 13 and 48, act B further comprises image data compression

(as depicted in Yeo figure 9 for data compression process).

Regarding claims 16 and 51, act A further comprises applying a conversion

equation to each pixel, wherein the conversion equation is selected based on the

second color space (as depicted in Yeo figure 4, numeral 402 shows the color space

conversion equations).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4.   The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described
> as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be
> patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious
> at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said
> subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the
> invention was made.

5.   Claims 4 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

the combination of Yeo and Acharya et al. (US 6,236,433 B1, hereinafter referred to as

Acharya433).

Regarding claims 4 and 39, Yeo discloses a single color component color space. Yeo does not explicitly disclose the single color space is an RGB raw space.

Acharya433, in the same field of endeavor of color representation ("relates to image scaling and color interpolation/recovery" at column 1, line 9), discloses: "digital still and video cameras and certain other imaging devices, raw images are first represented as rectangular row and column of pixels with each pixel having the intensity value of a particular color only ..." at column 2, line 18. As depicted in figures 1 and 2 "in FIG. 1 is applicable specifically to an image in its CFA (Color Filter Array) form, as derived for instance, from an image sensor or set of image sensors. A common CFA pattern is the Bayer pattern (described below and shown in FIG. 2) which has each pixel location in the array associated with one of three colors Red (R), Green (G) or Blue (B) ..." at column 3, line 62.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the color transformation system Yeo made with an RGB raw color space as taught by Acharya433, such that the color conversion system can handle data with the color space from "digital still and video cameras and certain other imaging devices" at Acharya433 column 2, line 18.

6.   Claims 14-15, 17-28, 49-50, and 52-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Yeo and Rashkovskiy et al. (U.S. 6,252,577 B1).

Regarding claims 14, 28, 49, and 63, Yeo discloses color space transformation from 1 to 2. Yeo does not explicitly disclose the color space interpolation.

Rashkovskiy, in the same field of endeavor of "digital image processing" at column 1, line 5, discloses the details of interpolation from a single color component to a multiple color component: "each pixel in the original image is converted from having a single color component into one pixel having multiple color components ... by interpolating the additional color components of a pixel based on the intensities and colors of its neighboring pixels ..." at column 1, line 37. Thus, the interpolation is based on nearest neighbor interpolation.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the color space transformation methodology of Yeo made, with single/multiple color interpolation as taught by Rashkovskiy, in order to explicitly describe the steps of color interpolation processing for "efficiently downscaling images and for transferring images" at Rashkovskiy column 1, line 6.

Regarding claims 15 and 50, applying a conversion equation to each interpolated pixel, wherein the conversion equation is selected based on the second color space (as depicted in Yeo figure 4, numeral 402 shows the color space conversion equations. See also Rashkovskiy column 1, line 44 "a conversion is performed upon the multiple component pixels from the color space of the image sensor to a color space that is more suitable for mathematical processing").

Regarding claims 17 and 52, color interpolation further comprises deriving missing color components for each pixel from the pixel's neighboring pixels, wherein the neighboring pixels contain the missing color components ("missing components are then determined by applying an interpolation methodology" at Rashkovskiy column 2, line 21; "interpolation the additional color components of a pixel based on the intensities and colors of its neighboring pixels" at Rashkovskiy column 1, line 42).

Regarding claims 18-20 and 53-55, deriving missing color components for each pixel from the pixel's neighboring pixels (as described in Rashkovskiy Equation-1 at column 5, the products are then summed or averaged to yield a component of the target pixel. A 3X7 target area, as shown at column 4, is for illustration purpose. "the operator may take on a larger or smaller size. In addition, the array need not have an odd number of coefficients on each side ..." at column 5, line 1. The coefficient cg(i, j) in Equation-1 is the normalized weighting factor shown by Equation-2.

In order to derive missing color components for each pixel from the pixel's closest previous and next pixels in a horizontal direction, Equations-1 and 2 can apply to a 1X3 target area e.g. G(9) B(10) G(11). Thus, G at position 10, i.e. G(10), can be the averaging of closest previous pixel G(9) and next pixel G(11) in the horizontal direction when weighting factor is 0.5. Otherwise, G(10) can be estimated by appropriate weighting factors).

Regarding claims 21-22 and 56-57, act S further comprises averaging pixels corresponding to each missing color component from the previous line of pixels (With proper selection of the size of target area, Rashkovskiy Equations-1 and 2 can be used to derive averaged or weighted pixel values corresponding to each missing color component from the previous line of pixels).

Regarding claims 23 and 58, the fixed number is based on missing color components from previous frames ("... missing components, however, may now be readily computed using conventional interpolation techniques as will be apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art ..." Rashkovskiy at column 4, line 1. Thus, the fixed number is based on missing color components from previous frames is one acceptable alternative method).

Regarding claims 24 and 59, low-pass filters used ("next step is to determine the filtering that will be applied to the selected region to generate the G component of the target pixel in the scaled image ... Equation-3 ..." Rashkovskiy at column 6, line 13. "Any one of a number of different filters can be used for h(j), but the well known Hamming filter works particularly well ..." Rashkovskiy at column 6, line 64. Where Hamming filter is a low pass filter).

Regarding claims 25-27 and 60-62, using filters before, after, before and after performing the color interpolation (as discussed in claims 24 and 59, performing filter

after interpolation. However, Equations-4 and 5 of Rashkovskiy at column 6, perform

the filter before interpolation. Without departing from the essence of Rashkovskiy's

methodologies, filters can be applied before and after performing the color

interpolation).

7.   Claims 29-32 and 64-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over the combination of Yeo and Acharya (US 2002/0101524 A1,

hereinafter referred to as Acharya002).

Regarding claims 29 and 64, Yeo discloses color space transformation from 2 to

1. Yeo does not explicitly disclose the details of color space re-mapping process.

Acharya002, in the same field of endeavor of image processing ("the invention

relates to the field of digital imaging systems and software" in paragraph 4, line 2),

discloses a known technique to process 8-bit Bayer data to 24-bit RGB then to 24-bit

YCrCb and re-map to 12-bit YCrCb as depicted in figure 1, also his invention of single

pass from 8-bit Bayer data to 12-bit YCrCb in figure 4.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary

skill in the art to provide the color space transformation methodology Yeo made with the

mapping technique as taught by Acharya002, in order not only to explicitly illustrate the

color transform processing but also to "image memory size can all be reduced" in

Acharya002 paragraph 14, line 11.

Regarding claims 30 and 65, act C further comprises applying a conversion equation to each pixel of the processed image data, wherein the conversion equation is selected based on a selected color space from the set of color spaces (as depicted in Yeo figure 5, numeral 502 shows the color space conversion equations).

Regarding claims 31 and 66, after applying the conversion equation, re-mapping each pixel of the processed image data into the selected color space (as discussed in claims 30 and 65 conversion equation applies to each pixel; claims 29 and 64 to re-map each pixel of the processed image data into the selected color space).

Regarding claims 32 and 67, re-mapping includes dropping undesired color components (as depicted in Acharya002 figure 1C and figure 4, the undesired color components of Cb and Cr are dropped so the file size can be reduced).

8.   Claims 33-35 and 68-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Yeo and Acharya002 as applied to claims 29-32 and 64-67 discussed above and further in view of Rashkovskiy et al.

The Yeo and Acharya002 combination teaches color conversion and re-map. The Yeo and Acharya002 combination does not explicitly teach the details of filtering process.

Rashkovskiy, in the same field of endeavor of "digital image processing" at column 1, line 5, also teaches "the well known Hamming filter works particularly well

and is relatively simple to implement" at column 6, line 66. As discussed in claims 25-27 and 60-62 this filter can be applied before, after, and before and after color interpolation. Without departing from the scope and spirit of Rashkovskiy's methodologies, this filter can also be applied before, after, and before and after dropping undesired color components.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to enable the color space transformation system of the Yeo and Acharya002 combination, with filtering technique as taught by Rashkovskiy, in order not only to explicitly illustrate the color transform processing but also to avoid "any aliasing effects" at Rashkovskiy column 7, line 31.

Response to Arguments

9.   *Summary of Applicant's Remark:*

The previous drawing and specification objections should be withdrawn in view of the amendment.

Examiner's Response:

Examiner agrees, and the previous objections are withdrawn.

10.   *Summary of Applicant's Remarks:*

"Yeo recites an example of a multi-spectral image (i.e., an RGB color image) as consisting of three components (i.e., red, green, and blue). Nowhere, in the cited portion, or

elsewhere in Yeo, does Yeo disclose a first color space as a single color component color

space, as expressly recited by Applicants" at response page 26, line 1.

Examiner's Response:

Applicant's argument is moot in view of the fact that the component of the input from a

multi-spectral image is monochrome (i.e. single) color. Thus, in the color space a single color

component is used. Refer to the rejections above.

Conclusion

11.   Applicant's amendment is rejected in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS**

MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy

as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a)..

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

12.   The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure:

- Lu et al. (US 5,805,217): Figures 2, 3, and 4for RGB single color component color spaces.

13.   Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eueng-nan Yeh whose telephone number is 571-270-1586. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8AM-4:30PM EDT.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vikkram Bali can be reached on 571-272-7415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

$\mathcal{E}.\mathcal{U}.$

Eueng-nan Yeh
Assistant Patent Examiner
2624

VIKKRAM BALI
PRIMARY EXAMINER